# Comparative study of the impact of heat transfer coefficient on the comfort conditions in the interior spaces of Iranian traditional houses (warm and dry climate of Yazd and cold and mountainous of Tabriz)

**Shahram NassehzadehTabriz**[1] - *Ph. d, Faculty of Architecture, Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction, Baku, Azerbaijan.*

**Fagan Aliyev**- *Professor, Azerbaijan University of Architecture and Construction, The president of the International Eco-Energy Academy, Baku, Azerbaijan.*

**Abstract**

Paper undertakes software based numerical simulations in two different traditional houses in cold-dry climate of Tabriz and hot-arid climate of Yazd, The Eco Test software chosen for BIM simulations. Case study on real houses instead of hypothetical models can give more tangible results and choosing traditional houses can provide a good opportunity to compare both physical and thermal conditions, in which zones have more dense walls than contemporary typical building wall. With high thermal mass and more surface to volume ratio, investigating the effect of U-value on interior conditions are more accurate. Comparative case studies in two different climatic zones of Iran can give researchers the opportunity to compare the importance of total U-value in two severe climates in Iran. In addition, it can give the designers the insight that what design priorities must be considered in each climate. Results show that the U-value in a hot arid climate of Yazd has a more direct effect in controlling interior comfort conditions, like fluctuations of temperature and variations of summer and winter temperatures, as well as variations of temperature in a single day and total energy need and consumption in both hot months and cold months of the year.

**Key words:** *U-value, Thermal mass, Traditional Iranian houses, Cold-dry climate, Hot-arid climate, BIM Based Software Analysis*

1. Corresponding Author, Tel: 021-65765434, Email Address: sh_nassehzadeh@m-iau.ac.ir

## 1.Introduction

The main purpose of this article is the comparative study of the effect of heat transfer coefficient on the comfort condition in the traditional buildings, in two different climates of hot and dry, cold and mountains of Iran. Heat transfer coefficient can be considered, as a related element with thermal mass, especially in a traditional house, because, using lightweight or thin materials with high heat transfer coefficient with technology and new materials is only possible for several years. By comparing homes that mainly are about 100 to 200 years, materials with more thermal mass, mainly have a lower heat transfer coefficient. Today, materials with high thermal capacity and less weight are produced and used in the construction industry and using materials with high thickness and weight, such as those in traditional houses, is not reasonable. Because, they create constructional high weight problems, and subsequently, high weight structures and using thick walls, such as their use in traditional houses, makes waste in useful space of the house. So, today, materials such as PCM (materials capable of phase change in different thermal and climatic conditions), with latent high-capacity heat and low weight and thickness, in recent years, are more widely produced and used [1-6]. Some of these studies discuss the effect of using materials with latent high thermal capacity to internal comfort conditions [7-9]. In addition, a wide variety of studies in the field of the effect of thermal mass effect on the comfort conditions and indoor air quality were found in the literature in this area [10-25]. Most of the studies that are in the field of climate design have been done in the climatic zones and different countries. For example, in connection with the subject of this research, there are many studies in the field of the effect of thermal mass and heat transfer coefficient and latent heat capacity, in a hot and dry climate [26-39]. However, in different climates and countries that are located in other climate zones, studies with different approaches can be found in the same field [40-44]. With different approaches, but in this same context of climatic design, studies in the field of climate, native design, traditional home design and evaluation of these examples can be found that examples of this research, which could also help researchers, are studied and brought in the resources section [45-51]. In the following table, the symbols and Latin terms summary that are used more in this research literature area, are given.

## 2. Methodology

### 2.1. Case Study

Two hot and dry climates, cold and mountainous climates are accounted for a large part of the center and the northwestern plains of Iran. Tabriz and Yazd that perhaps we can select the most important representative and cities for the two climates, As well as traditional homes can be found in the two cities which share many similarities in terms of physics, materials and design together. Given the major difference between the two climates, this issue seems contradictory. 33 traditional houses in Tabriz and Yazd were studied, and among them, two traditional houses of Ghodaki and Heidar Zadeh in Tabriz, Golshan and Mortaz in Yazd were selected. 4 zones as sample zones were analyzed of each one. The reasons for selecting these homes and zones were general appropriate orientation, the overall size of the houses and zones of the same size and the total area of the same windows' surface and many similar factors.

### 2.2 Selecting the software to analyze climate

For the analysis of climatic comfort conditions, in both houses, the simulation software method was elected. The reason was the modeling and simulation of real similar circumstances in ECOTECT software that is proper software in the field of climate studies. This software has also been used in previous climate research [52-55] and has been able to analyze and report on various grounds, that their analysis could give useful conclusions to the researchers. Another reason for choosing software modeling was the hardship and complex

218

| symbols | | Abbreviations | |
|---|---|---|---|
| H transfer coefficient (W/ m2 K) | U | Response Factor | RF |
| Area  (m2) | A | Total area of the whole surfaces (m2) | TSA |
| Volume  (m3) | V | Total area of the window (m2) | TWA |
| thermal resistance (m2 K/W) | R | monthly  day temperature (°C) (a unit used to determine the heating requirements of buildings, representing a fall of one degree below average temperatures) | MDD |
| Thermal conductivity W/m K | K | Day temperature in the warmest month (July) | HDD |
| Thermal receive W | Q | Day temperature in the coldest month (January) | CDD |
| The coefficient of determination  (R2=1- SSres/SStot) | FG | Mass absorption, tissue (Qc + Qs) (W) | FG |

manual calculations about the heat transfer coefficient of walls, etc., and entering climate calculations throughout the year, according to different materials and different conditions in the interior design of the houses.

## 2.3 Climate modeling and simulation methods

4 houses, two houses from Yazd and two houses from Tabriz were selected among 32 traditional houses, and had initial survey. These houses include Haidarzadeh house and Ghadoki in Tabriz, Golshan and Mortaz houses in Yazd. In any of these houses, 4 zones were selected as the thermal zones and other areas were modeled and were inactive. In every four houses, one of the spaces was modeled with large glass windows and one of the zones was modeled with small windows so that the impact of these factors can be seen in the results. In all zones, the walls were modeled in the form of a40 cm brick wall with an internal gypsum layer with the heat transfer coefficient of 1.95 (W / m2K). The windows were selected in the form of single-layer with a heat transfer coefficient of 5.10 (W / m2K). Furniture or thermal tools were not modeled inside so that only results of external conditions on the interior will be simulated. Specific heat or air conditioning system is also not defined.

Presence hours in the area were defined due to residential use of these structures in all days of a week and 24 hours a day. Intervals thermal comfort, 18-26 ° C, was determined. Related conditions to other minor issues such as paint, glass, small executive details, and the same … were selected, so that only the impact of factors that were effective in the researchers' assumption can be seen.

It should be noted, every four houses, almost, had the same orientation and zones were selected in the same front direction. Standard weather file, related to the city of Yazd and Tabriz was defined for each house fits in the software and tests were performed for the entire year.

## 3. Results

### 3.1. The overall results obtained and the results selected for analysis

The centroid range of results was analyzed, including the effect of TSA/TWA, V/A and TSA.TWA on the MDD, etc. However, significant relations were observed only between some of them. The reason that here, CDD, primarily, is considered, is that, this matter shows the high amount of heat to achieve thermal comfort intervals, as the sum of the space, and since, in both cities, a major factor is raised in winter, can be an overall and a main

■ 220 ■



▲ Pictures 1 and 2. Selected houses of Yazd, Tabriz and preliminary review and analysis of some physical features, architecture and thermal

| | | RF | U-value | V/A | TSA/TWA | CDD | HDD | V | A | TSA | TWA |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Tabriz's Traditional Houses | Heydarzade | 3.24 | 5.5 | 2.45 | 81.1 | 45316 | 6400 | 103 | 42 | 172 | 2.12 |
| | | 3.53 | 5.2 | 2.38 | 60.4 | 46351 | 6400 | 71.5 | 30 | 127 | 2.52 |
| | | 6.15 | 4 | 3.7 | 57.1 | 88000 | 3500 | 50 | 13.5 | 86 | 1.53 |
| | | 3.79 | 4.7 | 4.66 | 20.3 | 66664 | 15666 | 212 | 53 | 247 | 14.7 |
| | Ghadaki | RF | U-value | V/A | TSA/TWA | CDD | HDD | V | A | TSA | TWA |
| | | 2.32 | 7.3 | 3.84 | 38 | 78000 | 17500 | 315 | 82 | 349 | 9.16 |
| | | 2.03 | 10.6 | 3.48 | 32.2 | 99000 | 20000 | 122 | 35 | 177 | 5.4 |
| | | 2.36 | 8.68 | 3.82 | 43 | 90000 | 18000 | 172 | 45 | 243 | 5.6 |
| | | 1.95 | 11.5 | 5.6 | 52 | 158000 | 32500 | 410 | 73 | 386 | 74 |

▲ Table 2. Tabriz houses and some important physical and climatic features

| | | RF | U-value | V/A | TSA/TWA | CDD | HDD | V | A | TSA | TWA |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Yazd's Traditional Houses | Golshan | 3.73 | 5.15 | 3.7 | 31 | 41111 | 31000 | 260 | 72 | 311 | 9.9 |
| | | 3.83 | 5.48 | 3.64 | 26.97 | 34000 | 32000 | 248 | 68 | 321 | 11.95 |
| | | 4.78 | 4.9 | 3.74 | 46.25 | 37000 | 28000 | 101 | 27.5 | 148 | 3.24 |
| | | 3.48 | 7.93 | 3.8 | 40 | 47000 | 39000 | 120 | 32 | 196 | 4.8 |
| | Mortaz | RF | U-value | V/A | TSA/TWA | CDD | HDD | V | A | TSA | TWA |
| | | 2.52 | 14.7 | 5.53 | 29.4 | 103000 | 63000 | 127 | 23 | 166 | 9.9 |
| | | 2.41 | 10.83 | 6 | 26.7 | 79000 | 53000 | 330 | 55 | 321 | 12.9 |
| | | 3.07 | 9.75 | 5.7 | 38.8 | 74000 | 50000 | 325 | 57 | 388 | 10.9 |
| | | 4.91 | 4.07 | 2.55 | 31 | 24500 | 18000 | 69 | 27 | 121 | 3.9 |

▲ Table 3. Yazd houses and some important physical and climatic features

raw factor in determining the inside comfort conditions. In addition, it should be noted that, space cooling in summer is not a very important and necessary factor in Tabriz, but in Yazd, due to very hot summers, this factor could be an important parameter in the thermal comfort or overall thermal of a space, so HDD was analyzed also in second place. Response Factor is a parameter that Ecotech provides for a zone and show the accountability degree of that space in its space, and its impact on many results could be seen. Therefore, the comparative analysis was performed between two factors of the heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient.

## 2.3. The results of the heat transfer coefficient and the monthly day degrees analysis

Tables 2 and 3 show the sum of the physical conditions and spatial characteristics of each two houses. Some parameters, such as TSA, TWA, V, A and some proportions and relationships, such as TSA / TWA, V / A have been extracted from the comparison and analysis of relationships between variables that can effect on the internal comfort conditions. Between these variables, the researchers observed a correlation among heat transfer coefficient and monthly day degrees in both cities. In particular, this relation is stronger between day temperatures in the coldest month of the year, which means January, that this issue can be seen in Figures 3 and 4. The relation between the day temperature of the cold months, in each two houses and the eight Tabriz selected zones with a heat transfer coefficient is with a factor of approximation $R2 = 0.833$. While this approximation coefficient for Yazd houses zones is equal to $R2 = 0.965$. This equation is controlled for the day degrees of the warmer months of the year in both cities that as it can be seen in Figures 5 and 6, the approximation coefficient of equation accuracy was reduced, but, still, the nominal relation can be defined between these two.

In each pair of graphs, there is a direct relation with higher accuracy approximation coefficient between heat transfer coefficient

and maximum monthly Day temperature in Yazd to Tabriz. Another series of comparative analysis, which was carried out to examine the relation between heat transfer coefficient and the response coefficient of each thermal zone, which for each zone, independently, it is provided by the software. By comparing the results, it was observed that, with a higher response rate in each zone, the temperature fluctuations, on certain days, such as the hottest, or coldest, most windy or most cloudy days are fewer and in receiving and loss diagrams of static energy, it shows more stable results. Finally, by examining the relation between heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient, as seen in Figures 7 and 8, a closer relation between heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient can be seen in the houses of Yazd. The plot shows less variance between heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient in the zone of Yazd and it can be concluded that the coefficient of heat transfer is a better factor to predict the internal comfort conditions, in hot and dry climates, such as Yazd, compared to the cold and mountainous climates such as Tabriz.

## 4. Results and discussion

In both cities and the region, the results showed that, regardless of how much heat transfer coefficient of the space is less, the need for heating or cooling energy in summer and winter, would be less. Since the houses are traditional and most of their materials are brick and brick walls, we can say there is a relation between the coefficient of heat transfer and the total thermal mass of the space. There was a powerful relation between heat transfer coefficient and its impact on the monthly day degrees in Yazd. It means the houses of Yazd will receive more and more direct effect of heat transfer coefficient. As a result, a higher relation was observed between this factor and response factor in Yazd, compared to Tabriz, although in Tabriz, with a higher accuracy factor of anticipation, a relation between heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient

of space can be seen. The high response factor of space showed more stable temperature in the charts, in total, according to the two relations, the impact of the heat transfer coefficient on the greater temperature stability, less need for heating and cooling in both of the seasons can be concluded and observed. In addition, as a useful indicator of evaluating the amount of energy required for heating and cooling, discrimination diagrams of receiving and loss of structures static are also analyzed. As in Figures 9 to 12 are clear, in all the houses of two cities , with a high overall response coefficient of the house, the received and wasted energy on heating and cooling are less, energy exchange fluctuations are reduced and the overall cycle of energy consumption, in general, is less. However, given the fact that the overall heat transfer coefficient of a space was a function of the type of materials, which are considered fixed, therefore, the ratio of the area with the glass, rather than brick had a direct impact on the area and among the houses of Yazd, the more windows area, its impact could be observed directly on the overall heat transfer coefficient, the monthly day degrees and the response factor of space.

## Conclusion

In Tabriz, there is no relation with high accuracy prediction coefficient between the amount and area of the window and factors such as the total heat transfer coefficient and response factor of space. Perhaps this can be interpreted so that, windows had more impact on the interior comfort conditions in Yazd compared with Tabriz. They are not the only affecting factor in the internal conditions in Tabriz, so, for finding other factors, as well as more detailed analysis of the relation between the conditions and physical characteristics and comparative analysis of various factors, more studies and analysis can be performed. It is also possible to check and observe separately, in each city, factors such as TWA, TSA and V/ A, etc., that have a direct effect on the heat transfer coefficient, in more case studies on the overall heat

**TABRIZ: U-Value &CDD**

$y = 3305.8x^2 - 41549x + 189087$
$R^2 = 0.8304$

**YAZD: U- Value &CDD**

$y = 21.208x^2 + 6811.2x$
$R^2 = 0.9655$

**TABRIZ: U-Value&HDD**

$y = 200.72x^2 - 161.89x + 4326.6$
$R^2 = 0.7739$

**YAZD: U-Value &HDD**

$y = -202.69x^2 + 7652.3x - 5920.9$
$R^2 = 0.9753$

▲ Figures 3 and 4 (above), 5 and 6 (bottom): the relation between heat transfer coefficient and CDD, HDD: Both charts in both cities indicate the existence of a relation between heat transfer coefficient and the maximum monthly Day temperature hot and cold in each of the 8 zone of the cities.



**TABRIZ: U-Value & RF**

$y = 0.7611x^2 - 7.7142x + 22.741$
$R^2 = 0.9255$

**YAZD: U-Value & RF**

$y = 1.3812x^2 - 13.657x + 38.039$
$R^2 = 0.8578$

▲ Pictures 7 and 8. The relation between heat transfer coefficient and response coefficient, in the houses of Yazd and Tabriz

transfer coefficient, and finally, their impact on internal comfort conditions.

## References

1. Oliver, A., *Thermal characterization of gypsum boards with PCM included: thermal energy storage in buildings through latent heat. Energy and Buildings, 2012. 48: p. 1-7.*

2. Pasupathy, A. and R. Velraj, *Effect of double layer phase change material in building roof for year round thermal management. Energy and Buildings, 2008. 40(3): p. 193-203.*

3. Alawadhi, E.M., *Thermal analysis of a building brick containing phase change material. Energy and Buildings, 2008. 40(3): p. 351-357.*

4. Zhou, D., C.-Y. Zhao, and Y. Tian, *Review on thermal energy storage with phase change materials (PCMs) in building applications. Applied Energy, 2012. 92: p. 593-605.*

5. Zhang, C., et al., *Thermal response of brick wall filled with phase change materials (PCM) under fluctuating outdoor temperatures. Energy and Buildings, 2011. 43(12): p. 3514-3520.*

6. Zalba, B., et al., *Review on thermal energy storage with phase change: materials, heat transfer analysis and applications. Applied thermal engineering, 2003. 23(3): p. 251-283.*

7. Hawes, D., D. Feldman, and D. Banu, *Latent heat storage in building materials. Energy and Buildings, 1993. 20(1): p. 77-86.*

8. Hasnain, S., *Review on sustainable thermal energy storage technologies, Part I: heat storage materials and techniques. Energy conversion and management, 1998. 39(11): p. 1127-1138.*

9. Khudhair, A.M. and M.M. Farid, *A review on energy conservation in building applications with thermal storage by latent heat using phase change ma-*

Pictures 9 and 10 (above) and 11 and 12 (bottom): show the results of static energy denotative receive at homes in Tabriz and Yazd, with different climate features that, the more overall response factor of space is, it creates the better Thermal response of temperature, reduce volatility in energy waste and ultimately, the lower energy consumption on an annual cycle and thermal conditions and a better comfort.

224

terials. *Energy conversion and management*, 2004. 45(2): p. 263-275.

10. Al-Sanea, S.A., M. Zedan, and S. Al-Hussain, *Effect of thermal mass on performance of insulated building walls and the concept of energy savings potential.* Applied Energy, 2012. 89(1): p. 430-442.

11. Al-Sanea, S.A., M. Zedan, and S. Al-Hussain, *Effect of masonry material and surface absorptivity on critical thermal mass in insulated building walls.* Applied Energy, 2013. 102: p. 1063-1070.

12. Dodoo, A., L. Gustavsson, and R. Sathre, *Effect of thermal mass on life cycle primary energy balances of a concrete-and a wood-frame building.* Applied Energy, 2012. 92: p. 462-472.

13. Ruud, M., J. Mitchell, and S. Klein, *Use of building thermal mass to offset cooling loads.* ASHRAE Transactions (American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers);(United States), 1990. 96(CONF-9006117--).

14. Gilbert, R.B. and K. Kissock. *The Effect of Thermal Mass on Thermal Transmission Loads. in*

*ASME 2007 Energy Sustainability Conference.* 2007. American Society of Mechanical Engineers.

15. Balaras, C., *The role of thermal mass on the cooling load of buildings. An overview of computational methods.* Energy and Buildings, 1996. 24(1): p. 1-10.

16. Gregory, K., et al., *Effect of thermal mass on the thermal performance of various Australian residential constructions systems.* Energy and Buildings, 2008. 40(4): p. 459-465.

17. Ogoli, D.M., *Predicting indoor temperatures in closed buildings with high thermal mass.* Energy and Buildings, 2003. 35(9): p. 851-862.

18. Yang, L. and Y. Li, *Cooling load reduction by using thermal mass and night ventilation.* Energy and Buildings, 2008. 40(11): p. 2052-2058.

19. Yılmaz, Z., *Evaluation of energy efficient design strategies for different climatic zones: Comparison of thermal performance of buildings in temperate-humid and hot-dry climate.* Energy and Buildings, 2007. 39(3): p. 306-316.

20. Zeng, R., et al., New concepts and approach for developing energy efficient buildings: Ideal specific heat for building internal thermal mass. Energy and Buildings, 2011. 43(5): p. 1081-1090.

21. Zhu, L., et al., Detailed energy saving performance analyses on thermal mass walls demonstrated in a zero energy house. Energy and Buildings, 2009. 41(3): p. 303-310.

22. Braun, J.E., Load control using building thermal mass. Journal of solar energy engineering, 2003. 125(3): p. 292-301.

23. Kosny, J., et al., Thermal mass-energy savings potential in residential buildings. Oak Ridge National Labs, 2001.

24. Kalogirou, S.A., G. Florides, and S. Tassou, Energy analysis of buildings employing thermal mass in Cyprus. Renewable energy, 2002. 27(3): p. 353-368.

25. Karlsson, J., Possibilities of using thermal mass in buildings to save energy, cut power consumption peaks and increase the thermal comfort. Report TVBM, 2012. 3164.

26. Porta-Gándara, M.Á., et al., Effect of passive techniques on interior temperature in small houses in the dry, hot climate of northwestern Mexico. Renewable Energy, 2002. 26(1): p. 121-135.

27. Cena, K. and R. de Dear, Thermal comfort and behavioural strategies in office buildings located in a hot-arid climate. Journal of Thermal Biology, 2001. 26(4): p. 409-414.

28. Saeed, S., Thermal comfort requirements in hot dry regions with special reference to Riyadh part 2: for Friday prayer. International journal of ambient energy, 1996. 17(1): p. 17-21.

29. Al-Sanea, S. and M. Zedan, Optimum insulation thickness for building walls in a hot-dry climate. International journal of ambient energy, 2002. 23(3): p. 115-126.

30. Ratti, C., D. Raydan, and K. Steemers, Building form and environmental performance: archetypes, analysis and an arid climate. Energy and Buildings, 2003. 35(1): p. 49-59.

31. Mlakar, J. and J. Štrancar, Overheating in residential passive house: Solution strategies revealed and confirmed through data analysis and simulations. Energy and Buildings, 2011. 43(6): p. 1443-1451.

32. Masmoudi, S. and S. Mazouz, Relation of geometry, vegetation and thermal comfort around buildings in urban settings, the case of hot arid regions. Energy and Buildings, 2004. 36(7): p. 710-719.

33. Hashemi, N., R. Fayaz, and M. Sarshar, Thermal behaviour of a ventilated double skin facade in hot arid climate. Energy and Buildings, 2010. 42(10): p. 1823-1832.

34. Raychaudhuri, B., S. Ali, and D. Garg, Indoor climate of residential buildings in hot arid regions:- Effect of orientation. Building science, 1965. 1(1): p. 79-88.

35. Johansson, E., Influence of urban geometry on outdoor thermal comfort in a hot dry climate: A study in Fez, Morocco. Building and environment, 2006. 41(10): p. 1326-1338.

36. Ali-Toudert, F. and H. Mayer, Numerical study on the effects of aspect ratio and orientation of an urban street canyon on outdoor thermal comfort in hot and dry climate. Building and environment, 2006. 41(2): p. 94-108.

37. Lavafpour, Y. and M. Surat, Passive Low Energy Architecture in Hot and Dry Climate. Australian Journal of Basic and Applied Sciences, 2011. 5(8): p. 757-765.

38. Askar, H., S. Probert, and W. Batty, Windows for buildings in hot arid countries. Applied Energy, 2001. 70(1): p. 77-101.

39. Sedki, A., N. Hamza, and T. Zaffagnini, Effect of Orientation on Indoor Thermal Neutrality in Winter Season in Hot Arid Climates Case Study: Residential Building in Greater Cairo. International Journal of Engineering and Technology, 2013. 5(6): p. 712.

40. Raman, P., S. Mande, and V. Kishore, A passive solar system for thermal comfort conditioning of buildings in composite climates. Solar Energy, 2001. 70(4): p. 319-329.

41. Yu, J., C. Yang, and L. Tian, Low-energy envelope design of residential building in hot summer and cold winter zone in China. Energy and Buildings, 2008. 40(8): p. 1536-1546.

42. Skibin, D. and C. Noach, Optimal oreintation of buildings in the Negev semi-arid conditions. Energy and Buildings, 1982. 4(3): p. 185-189.

43. Heidari, S. and S. Sharples, A comparative

analysis of short-term and long-term thermal comfort surveys in Iran. Energy and Buildings, 2002. 34(6): p. 607-614.

44. Badescu, V. and B. Sicre, Renewable energy for passive house heating: II. Model. Energy and Buildings, 2003. 35(11): p. 1085-1096.

45. Foruzanmehr, A. and F. Nicol. Towards new approaches for integrating vernacular passive-cooling system into modern building in warm-dry climates of Iran. in Proceedings of conference: Air Conditioning and the low Carbon Cooling Challenge, Cumberland Lodge, Windsor, UK. London. 2008.

46. Singh, M.K., S. Mahapatra, and S. Atreya, Thermal performance study and evaluation of comfort temperatures in vernacular buildings of North-East India. Building and environment, 2010. 45(2): p. 320-329.

47. Buratti, C. and E. Moretti. Thermal resistance of masonry walls: in situ measurements. in 6th World Conference on Experimental Heat Transfer, Fluid Mechanics and Thermodynamics, Matsushima, Miyagi, Japan. 2005.

48. Martín, S., F.R. Mazarrón, and I. Cañas, Study of thermal environment inside rural houses of Navapalos (Spain): The advantages of reuse buildings of high thermal inertia. Construction and Building Materials, 2010. 24(5): p. 666-676.

49. Makaka, G. and E. Meyer, Temperature stability of traditional and low-cost modern housing in the Eastern Cape, South Africa. Journal of Building Physics, 2006. 30(1): p. 71-86.

50. Morel, J., et al., Building houses with local materials: means to drastically reduce the environmental impact of construction. Building and environment, 2001. 36(10): p. 1119-1126.

51. Yoshino, H., K. Hasegawa, and S.-i. Matsumoto, Passive cooling effect of traditional Japanese building's features. Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal, 2007. 18(5): p. 578-590.

52. Azhar, S., J. Brown, and R. Farooqui. BIM-based sustainability analysis: An evaluation of building performance analysis software. in Proceedings of the 45th ASC Annual Conference. 2009.

53. O'Brien, W., T. Kesik, and A. Athienitis. The use of solar design days in a passive solar house conceptual design tool. in 3rd Canadian Solar Buildings Conference, Fredericton, NB. 2008.

54. Krygiel, E. and B. Nies, Green BIM: successful sustainable design with building information modeling. 2008: John Wiley & Sons.

55. Numan, M., F. Almaziad, and W. Al-Khaja, Architectural and urban design potentials for residential building energy saving in the Gulf region. Applied energy, 1999. 64(1): p. 401-410.